Very similar methods can be applied for the generation of the modified Bessel functions $I_{n}(x)$ and $K_{n}(x)$.

With the improvement just described the widely used recurrence techniques are very straightforward methods for the generation of the sets of Bessel functions with real argument $x$ and varying index $n$.
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## The Zeros of $P_{\nu}^{1}(\cos \theta)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\mu}^{1}(\cos \theta)^{*}$

## By Peter H. Wilcox

Introduction. In the course of a recent study [1] of the scattering of an electromagnetic wave by a semi-infinite, perfectly conducting cone, it became necessary to compute numerically sets of positive zeros of certain associated Legendre functions treated as functions of their degree; that is, to find $\nu_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}, i=1,2,3, \cdots$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\nu_{i}}^{1}(\cos \theta)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\partial / \partial \theta) P_{\mu_{i}}^{1}(\cos \theta)=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given $\theta$. The method presented here employs a trigonometric series expansion for the Legendre functions to obtain these zeros.

Formulas. An expression for the associated Legendre function valid for $0<\theta<$ $180^{\circ}$ is [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\nu}^{\mu}(\cos \theta)= & \pi^{-1 / 2} 2^{\mu+1}(\sin \theta)^{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+3 / 2)}  \tag{3}\\
& \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(\mu+1 / 2)_{k}(\nu+\mu+1)_{k}}{k!(\nu+3 / 2)_{k}} \sin [(\nu+\mu+2 k+1) \theta]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$
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Table I
The first 50 zeros of the Legendre function and its derivative

| $i$ | $\nu_{i} ; P_{\nu_{i}}^{1}\left(\cos 165^{\circ}\right)=0$ | $\mu_{i} ; \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\mu_{i}}^{1}\left(\cos 165^{\circ}\right)=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0316313 | . 9671403 |
| 2 | 2.0844338 | 1.9189013 |
| 3 | 3.1499290 | 2.8870839 |
| 4 | 4.2230957 | 3.8878600 |
| 5 | 5.3010868 | 4.9171089 |
| 6 | 6.3822487 | 5.9656383 |
| 7 | 7.4655810 | 7.0264388 |
| 8 | 8.550453 | 8.095136 |
| 9 | 9.636450 | 9.169086 |
| 10 | 10.723293 | 10.246663 |
| 11 | 11.810784 | 11.326832 |
| 12 | 12.898783 | 12.408911 |
| 13 | 13.987187 | 13.492435 |
| 14 | 15.075917 | 14.577076 |
| 15 | 16.164916 | 15.662599 |
| 16 | 17.254136 | 16.748830 |
| 17 | 18.343542 | 17.835637 |
| 18 | 19.433106 | 18.922921 |
| 19 | 20.522803 | 20.010602 |
| 20 | 21.612615 | 21.098619 |
| 21 | 22.702527 | 22.186921 |
| 22 | 23.792526 | 23.275468 |
| 23 | 24.882601 | 24.364227 |
| 24 | 25.972743 | 25.453171 |
| 25 | 27.062943 | 26.542276 |
| 26 | 28.153197 | 27.631524 |
| 27 | 29.243498 | 28.720898 |
| 28 | 30.333840 | 29.810384 |
| 29 | 31.424221 | 30.899971 |
| 30 | 32.514636 | 31.989647 |
| 31 | 33.605082 | 33.079405 |
| 32 | 34.695557 | 34.169236 |
| 33 | 35.786057 | 35.259134 |
| 34 | 36.876580 | 36.349093 |
| 35 | 37.967126 | 37.439106 |
| 36 | 39.057691 | 38.529170 |
| 37 | 40.14827 | 39.61928 |
| 38 | 41.23887 | 40.70943 |
| 39 | 42.32949 | 41.79963 |
| 40 | 43.42012 | 42.88986 |
| 41 | 44.51076 | 43.98012 |
| 42 | 45.60142 | 45.07041 |
| 43 | 46.69209 | 46.16073 |
| 44 | 47.78277 | 47.25108 |
| 45 | 48.87345 | 48.34146 |
| 46 | 49.96415 | 49.43186 |
| 47 | 51.05486 | 50.52228 |
| 48 | 52.14557 | 51.61272 |
| 49 | 53.23630 | 52.70318 |
| 50 | 54.32703 | 53.79366 |

Direct substitution of $\mu=1$ does not lead to useful results, since the series then diverges. However, if $S_{\nu}(\theta)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\nu}(\theta)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k}(\nu)_{k}}{k!(\nu+3 / 2)_{k}} \sin [(\nu+2 k) \theta] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then letting $\mu=-1$ in Eq. (3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\nu}^{-1}(\cos \theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \pi \sin \theta} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu+3 / 2)} S_{\nu}(\theta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\nu}^{-m}(z)=\frac{\Gamma(\nu-m+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+m+1)}(-1)^{m} P_{\nu}^{m}(z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for integer $m$ ), Eq. (3) finally becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\nu}{ }^{1}(\cos \theta)=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{ } \pi \sin \theta} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\Gamma(\nu+3 / 2)} S_{\nu}(\theta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An expression for the derivative of the Legendre function found from Eq. (7) and from the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\nu}{ }^{1}(\cos \theta)=\frac{1}{\sin \theta}\left[\nu \cos \theta P_{\nu}{ }^{1}(\cos \theta)-(\nu+1) P_{\nu-1}^{1}(\cos \theta)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be written in the form
(9) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_{\nu}{ }^{1}(\cos \theta)=\frac{-\nu}{\sqrt{ } \pi \sin ^{2} \theta}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\Gamma(\nu+3 / 2)}\right] /\left[\cos \theta S_{\nu}(\theta)-\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \nu}\right) S_{\nu-1}(\theta)\right]$.

The expressions in (7) and (9) may be evaluated on a digital computer, and so the zeros of the respective functions may be found by an iterative technique (e.g., that of Newton-Raphson). The series involved in either case does converge, although slowly, and accurate results can be obtained if enough terms are included. Since the parameter, $\theta$, enters only in the argument for the sine function, it does not affect the rate of convergence. Methods exist for evaluating the gamma functions, although they are not needed if only the zeros are required.

Results. The zeros were calculated at the University of Michigan Computing Center on an IBM 7090 computer in double precision. This would indicate a possible accuracy of 14 to 16 significant figures. Actually, the magnitude of the terms in $S_{\nu}(\theta)$ decreases so slowly that results of this accuracy would require so many terms as to be impractical when many zeros are required. But if 500 terms are used in the series, the coefficient of the sine function in the last term varies from less than $10^{-8}$ when $\nu$ is very small up to about $2 \times 10^{-6}$ when $\nu=100$. This leads to 7 or 8 significant figures in the zeros themselves over the whole range. In general, the time required to compute $S_{\nu}(\theta)$ with 500 terms is about 0.4 to 0.6 seconds, although a considerable savings in time may be had in those instances where $\sin (2 k+\nu) \theta, k=0,1,2, \cdots$, is a repeating function in $k$ such that a small table of values may be used for it.

When the zeros for one value of $\theta$ are found in ascending order, the separation between successive zeros becomes nearly constant. In fact, it can be shown that [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{i+1}-\xi_{i}-(\pi / \theta)\right|<\epsilon \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any arbitrarily small $\epsilon$ if $i$ is large enough, where $\xi_{i}$ represents either $\nu_{i}$ or $\mu_{i}$. Thus, when two successive zeros have been found, the next can be easily estimated. For higher-order zeros this estimate is usually good enough so that only three func-tion-evaluations are needed for the correction term in the iteration to be less than $10^{-8}$. Also, when only one zero is known, $\pi / \theta$ can be used as an increment to get an estimate of an adjacent zero.

Since Eq. (3) holds for $0<\theta<180^{\circ}$, it should be possible to find zeros for any angle in this range. In connection with our work, we have found the zeros, $\nu_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$, for $i=1,2,3, \cdots, 100$ at a number of angles between $150^{\circ}$ and $172.5^{\circ}$ and also some low-order zeros at angles from $2.5^{\circ}$ to $177.5^{\circ}$. Table I shows the first 50 zeros at $165^{\circ}$, which were computed by carrying $S_{\nu}(\theta)$ to 500 terms or until the magnitude of the coefficient became less than $10^{-8}$. As a test, the same zeros were found using 2000 terms in the series, which in general, represents a decrease of two orders of magnitude in the size of the last term. As a second test, the expressions in (7) and (9) were evaluated for integer values of $\nu$, and the results compared with values calculated using the recurrence relations for the Legendre functions. From these tests, the number of significant figures present in the values for the Legendre functions and in the zeros themselves was determined. The tests verified the accuracy of the numbers in Table I.

Of the various tables and bounds that have been published relating to these zeros [4]-[7], only Waterman's work [7] provides results of precision comparable with those given here. His values for $\nu_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 30)$ at $165^{\circ}$ agree with ours to six and usually seven significant figures, the latter being the limit of his tables. However, for $\mu_{i}(i=2,3, \cdots, 30)$, his zeros are consistently lower, with the difference showing up in the fifth decimal place for the higher-order zeros. In each case where such a discrepancy exists, the representations in (7) and (9) have been used to evaluate the appropriate Legendre function at each of the two proposed values for the zero. In all instances, the resulting values were significantly smaller for the zeros listed in Table I than for the values presented by Waterman. From this, it is concluded that the zeros given here are more accurate.
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